IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

JERRY L. KINGSBY, #576664,	§	
	§	
Petitioner,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Case No. 6:22-cv-287-JDK-KNM
	§	
DIRECTOR, TDCJ BOBBY LUMPKIN,	§	
	§	
Respondent.	§	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Petitioner Jerry L. Kingsby, a Texas Department of Criminal Justice prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this petition for writ of habeas corpus to challenge allegedly unjust denials of release on parole. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

On January 27, 2023, Judge Mitchell issued a Report recommending that the petition be dismissed with prejudice for lack of merit. Docket No. 6. A copy of this Report was sent to Plaintiff, who has not filed written objections.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded on other*

grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from

ten to fourteen days).

Here, Petitioner did not object in the prescribed period. The Court therefore

reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and

reviews his legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S.

918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the

standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law.").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case,

the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to

law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the

United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 6) as the findings of this Court.

Petitioner's petition for habeas corpus is hereby **DISMISSED** with prejudice

pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The Court **DENIES**

a certificate of appealability. All pending motions are **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 6th day of March, 2023.

JEREMY D. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE